UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,689	05/09/2006	Tomas Lieback	3670-63	4425	
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/16/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER			
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	AKINYEMI, AJIBOLA A			
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/578,689	LIEBACK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AJIBOLA AKINYEMI	2618		

5 .,	Examine	Aironn					
	AJIBOLA AKINYEMI	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
_	dianaa with 37 CED 41 37 must ba f	filed within two month	s of the data of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con 			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	`	E below),					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (DTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Ilpliant Amendment (1 10L-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,8 and 11-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
Applicat argued that Haapoja reference did not disclose a filter to filter the signal in both branches. Examiner res discloses a multicarrier signal abstract, parag.0001, 000 a signal which is divided into two branches I and Q by a	a multicarrier signal and that the repectfully disagrees with this statemed and a splitter that separate the signal and that the research are signal are si	ference did not disclo et because Haapoja r gnal into two. (fig.3, sl	se a splitter and eference hows LNA output				
signal on each branches							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.							

Application No.

/Yuwen Pan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100311